

The influence of tail biting on pig carcasses considering different production systems and tail length

Alice Gomes¹, Claudia Romeo^{2,3}, Ghidini Sergio², Madalena Vieira-Pinto^{4,5}

¹ University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD) 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal; ² Department of Food and Drugs, University of Parma, Via del Taglio 10, 43126 Parma, Italy; ³ Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e dell'Emilia Romagna, via Bianchi 9, 25124 Brescia, Italy; ⁴ Animal and Veterinary Research Centre, UTAD, 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal; ⁵ Department of Veterinary Science, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD) 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal

Introduction and Objectives

Tail biting is recognized as a major welfare issue in pig production, associated with great economic losses. The European Union has stated its position regarding tail docking practices through the Directive 120/2008/EC and Recommendation (EU) 2016/336. The directive encourages all Member States to establish standards for the protection of pigs as well as measures to reduce the need for tail-docking and tail biting prevalence. This study aims to evaluate tail biting occurrence in slaughtered pigs, analyse the association of tail lesions with production system and tail length, and explore the relationship between carcass condemnations and tail damage.

Materials and Methods

Information on a total of 9189 pigs from 73 batches from distinct production systems (conventional, conventional without the administration of antimicrobials, organic) and with different tail lengths (undocked, docked mid-length, fully docked) was collected at a Spanish abattoir. Due to the speed of the slaughter line, it was only viable to classify a subset of 3636 animals regarding their tail condition, which was classified into three categories (Figure 1).

Results and Discussion

The probability of observing tail lesions only varied with tail length, with undocked pigs having higher odds of showing severe lesions when compared to other lengths (p=0.0001, OR=3.11 and OR=2.10). Batches with higher lesion scores presented a greater chance of total condemnations (p=0.014, OR=1.81) and total condemnations by pyaemia (p=0.013, OR=2.96). Regarding production systems, organic farms had a higher probability of total condemnation when compared to the other two production systems (p=0.0263, OR=2.27 and OR=4.36) (Table 1). Despite being popularly discussed that pigs raised under less intensive conditions, such as organic production, have a higher level of animal welfare, in this study, it was the only production system that showed a statistically significant relationship with condemnations rates. In this type of production, the absence of correct treatment due to the antimicrobials restriction leads to generalised bacterial infection and could explain the higher condemnations rates.

Explanatory Response variable variable

Α

Statistics P value

lesions; D and E - severe lesions.

Odds Ratios

95% CI Estimate



Figure 1. Examples of examined tails. Lesion score: A - no lesions; B and C - mild

Table 1. Statistical model exploring the relationship between lesion scores, total condemnations (TC) and total condemnations (TC) due to pyaemia with production system and tail length in the total study population (9189 pigs). CI – confidence interval.

Conclusion

This research concludes that there is a clear association between tail lesions and condemnation rates. As tail length influenced the severity of tail lesions, it is imperative to consider the impact of tail length on animal welfare and conduct further studies to determine if a longer resected tail could result in more favourable outcomes compared to a conventional docked tail. Although it is argued that pigs raised under less intensive production conditions (organic) have a higher level of animal welfare compared with pigs raised under conventional production, tail biting and systemic infections can still be observed in organically raised pigs.

This research was supported by project UIDB/CVT/00772/2020 financed by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT).



2nd RIBMINS conference "Towards the future of meat safety assurance" 7th and 8th of April 2022



