Evidence-based decisions in meat inspection systems: from routine data and risk-based meat inspection into algorithm and artificial intelligence

Eystein Skjerve

RIBMINS Training School

Parma, June 16 2023

The talk

- Following up and looking back:
 - 1st presentation: Meat inspection and slaughter as learning –based systems
 - 2nd presentation : Methods for comparison of systems
 - 3rd presentation : Interventions in the meat chain: The need to integrate causal inference into planning of interventions.
 - 4th presentation is this one: Some conclusions and future perspectives; Into Artificial intelligence?

The ideology of meat safety

From Sava Bunic' «Integrated Food Safety Systems and Veterinary Public Health»





Learning organisations: KAP - the wholy Grail





Review

Knowledge, attitudes and practices of food handlers in food safety: An integrative review

Laís Mariano Zanin^a, Diogo Thimoteo da Cunha^b, Veridiana Vera de Rosso^c, Vanessa Dias Capriles^c, Elke Stedefeldt^{d,*}



Simple: Dirty animals - dirty meat?

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the bacterial dynamics along the beef chain for clean and dirty cattle in the slaughter and processing lines, using classic quantitative methods and molecular analyses. In addition, the Norwegian national guidelines for Good Hygiene Practices in Norway were evaluated. In these guidelines, cattle presented for slaughter are categorised according to hide cleanliness, resulting in separate processing lines for meat from very dirty animals and reduced prices to farmers. The study was conducted in two commercial abattoirs in Norway. Two groups were compared; 40 visually clean cattle and 40 visually dirty cattle presented for slaughter, with 20 from each group at each abattoir. The same animals were sampled at five sampling sites: hides, carcass surfaces after dehiding, just before chilling, after chilling, and meat trimmings. Meat trimmings were sampled in only one abattoir. Three hundred and sixty samples were collected by swabbing 100 cm² of the brisket area at the first four sampling sites, and sampling 200 g of meat trimmings at the fifth site. The results showed that the hides of dirty cattle had more *Enterobacteriaceae* and higher Aerobic Plate Counts (APC) than visually clean cattle (P < 0.05), however there was no significant difference for Escherichia coli. For the other sampling sites, there were no differences between the dirty and the clean group. An effect of chilling/drying of the carcass surfaces was demonstrated by the significant reduction in the number of carcasses on which E. coli and Enterobacteriaceae were detected; from 11% and 39% before chilling to 1% and 16% after chilling, respectively. Enterobacteriaceae and E. coli were detected in only three and one of the meat trimming samples, respectively. Amplification and sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene from 643 Enterobacteriaceae colonies derived from 107 samples demonstrated that Escherichia/Shigella were dominant within this family on the hides. However, after dehiding, after grading, and after chilling, the genera Citrobacter and Enterobacter dominated. The meat trimmings were dominated by the genera Kluyvera, Hafnia, and unclassified Enterobacteriaceae. The relative proportions of Escherichia/Shigella were higher for dirty animals than for clean animals, and were higher on hides than from sampling sites further down the chain (P < 0.05). The minor differences in contamination on carcass surfaces and meat trimmings between clean and dirty cattle indicate that separate processing lines in Norwegian abattoirs seem to be unnecessary.

© 2015 Flsevier RV All rights reserved



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Food Microbiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijfoodmicro



CrossMar

The significance of clean and dirty animals for bacterial dynamics along the beef chain

Sigrun J. Hauge ^{a,*}, Truls Nesbakken ^b, Birgitte Moen ^c, Ole-Johan Røtterud ^a, Sissel Dommersnes ^d, Ole Nesteng ^e, Øyvin Østensvik ^b, Ole Alvseike ^a

^a Animalia Norwegian Mext and Poultry Research Centre, P. O. Box 396 Økern 0513 Oslo, Norway
^b Department of Food Safety and Infection Biology, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, P.O. Box 8146 Dep, 0033 Oslo, Norway
^c Nofima – Norwegian Institute of Food, Echerics and Aquaculture Research, 1430 Ås, Norway
^a Fatland Jeren K, Hommerside, Norway
^b Netrum SAP, Do No 300 Øken, 0513 John, Norway







Systematic Review

A Systematic Review on the Effectiveness of Pre-Harvest Meat Safety Interventions in Pig Herds to Control *Salmonella* and Other Foodborne Pathogens

Maria Rodrigues da Costa ¹, Joana Pessoa ^{2,3,4}, Diana Meemken ^{5,*} and Truls Nesbakken ⁶

Abstract: This systematic review aimed to assess the effectiveness of pre-harvest interventions to control the main foodborne pathogens in pork in the European Union. A total of 1180 studies were retrieved from PubMed[®] and Web of Science for 15 pathogens identified as relevant in EFSA's scientific opinion on the public health hazards related to pork (2011). The study selection focused on controlled studies where a cause-effect could be attributed to the interventions tested, and their effectiveness could be inferred. Altogether, 52 studies published from 1983 to 2020 regarding Campylobacter spp., Clostridium perfringens, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Mycobacterium avium, and Salmonella spp. were retained and analysed. Research was mostly focused on Salmonella (n =43 studies). In-feed and/or water treatments, and vaccination were the most tested interventions and were, overall, successful. However, the previously agreed criteria for this systematic review excluded other effective interventions to control Salmonella and other pathogens, like Yersinia enterocolitica, which is one of the most relevant biological hazards in pork. Examples of such successful interventions are the Specific Pathogen Free herd principle, stamping out and repopulating with disease-free animals. Research on other pathogens (i.e., Hepatitis E, Trichinella spiralis and Toxoplasma gondii) was scarce, with publications focusing on epidemiology, risk factors and/or observational studies. Overall, high herd health coupled with good management and biosecurity were effective to control or prevent most foodborne pathogens in pork at the pre-harvest level.

Are we pushing too far?

Lack of data to be used in risk assessment and risk management

Preharvest data «revolution»?

- Big data will most likely be used primarily for automatically harvested data, as e.g. sensor technologies
- What about smaller organisations, data harvested from farms and processing units into consumers' kitchens?



Common datasets not available

- We have large amounts of data most of it is not used
- Where are the data collected
- Who owns the data?
 - Producers
 - Industry
 - Market owners
 - Public sector

Condemnation causes intrast, Hoganizat, intrast, Hoganizat, Hoganizat,	Roadma Grap com stake Key parameters Key paramet	t Safety Assurance System whic model of components and munication between eholders along the value chain. for SWOT a) pigs ers for SWOT b) poultry meters for SWOT c) cattle	- M - Pa - Sig	emorandum pers and documents gnals from group Common dataset Collect information on structure, type of animals and size of abattoris per country to place MSAS in the context of operational structures. Qualitative examples Describe varieties and differences
Comparison case I Procedures for sign most impercises to the amendment of Regulation 854/2004 by Regulations 217, 218 and 219/2014 (Intellicent Imset Impercises): Versus Versus Versus Versus	Condemnation causestitmas frequenciestitmas frequencies Condemnationingutar arguits hatsingutar arguits hatsingutar arguits hatsingutar arguitsingutar ar	Qualifying criteria Suggest methodologies, principles for how performance of alternativ conventional included, can be asse qualify to meet regulatory demand Performance surveillance Suggest a methodology for how pr MSAS measures, conventional ind continuously surveyed. (UK has a se	and protocols e measures, essed and ds. e erformance of uded, can be system)	Common dataset collect information for existing MSAS. Common dataset Collect information for alternative technologies, future needs and candidate technologies. Conduct a survey of all technological methods that may be useful to complement or as stand alone.
Proceedures for the pig meat inspection applied after the verty into force of Regulations 217,218 Traditional groupity good montem inspection Comparison case III Serology Versus Cubineation in Sammenifa surveillance	Comparison case I Protections for ga mate impertion applied pri Regulations 217, 218 and 219/2014 (incidions Protectures for the pig exeat impercion applied and 219/2014 (insue meat impercion)	er to the amendment of Regulation 854/2004 by I meat inspection); Versus after the entry into force of Regulations 217, 218 Comparison case III Sensing Versus Cultivation in Submontla surveillance	Comparison Automatic camera Treditional poultry pre	Case II -based post mortem inspection in poultry Versus at mortem inspection

Causal paths



Carcass counts or meat meat cuts extreme variability

- Obviously meat plant recordings are more reliable
 - Directly influencing public health
 - Can be traced back If information flow works

Figure 4. Time trends of plate counts (upper) from a meat cut plant during 2012, illustrated with a lowess curve with a bandwith of 0.25, corresponding to a moving 3 months window. The lower graph shows the weekly trends for *E. coli* in categories (: 0=not detected, $1=-10/\text{cm}^2$, $2=10-100/\text{cm}^2$, $3=>100/\text{ cm}^2$)



HPR and carcass counts, of course there is a relationship - but what about predictions?



This means that for each percentage of poorer HPR-score, *Enterobacteriaceae* and *E. coli* contamination of carcasses increased by 0.1 log CFU/cm². The models were highly significant (F-statistics: 28.1, 18 DF, p = 0.00005 and 38.99, 18 DF, p = 0.00007). For the *Enterobacteriaceae* regression model, R² was 0.68 (0.69 for cattle and 0.62 for sheep), and 0.61 for *E. coli* (0.62 for cattle and 0.60 for sheep).

Fig. 3. The relationship between HPR results on the x-axis and microbiological carcass hygiene on the y-axis. The mean of log/cm² Enterobacteriaceae (graph to the left) and *E. coli* (to the right) on cattle (dots) and sheep carcasses (squares). The dashed line showed the regression line for cattle and the solid line for sheep. NB: The x-axis is reversed, with low hygienic scores to the right, high to the left of the x-axis.

HPR – hygiene as a latent (non-measured variable)

Table 1. Associations between HPR scores and counts of Enterobacteriaceae and E. coli using a standardized method in analysing counts. Results are shown for the overall <u>score</u>, and the latent variable created by the structural equation model. Further results are given for to levels of reliability of the predictor variable (0.8, 0.9). Coefficients with 95% CI and corresponding coefficient of determination is given for each model.

Predictor	Enterobacteriacea	E. coli
Overall score	-0.099 (-0.1330.066) R ² =0.68	-0.101 (-0.1410.061) R ² =0.61
Latent variable from SEM (reliability=1.0)	-0.165 (-0.2150.113) R ² =0.72	-0.174 (-0.2300.118) R ² =0.70
Latent variable from SEM; reliability=0.9	-0.182 (-0.2310.134) R ² =0.79	-0.194 (-0.2480.140) R ² =0.78
Latent variable from SEM; reliability=0.8	-0.206 (-0.2440.166) R ² = 0.89	-0.217 (-9.263 0.172) R ² =0.87





Complexity: Risk assessments



Use of

select for

pleuromutilins in

Danish pigs may

development of

resistant bacteria

associated with

human illness

Hazard

identification

Staphylococcus

Enterococcus spp

potential hazards

spp. and

identified as

pleuromutilin-

this may be

Humans can be

exposed to pigs

resistant

to live pigs

harboring pleuromutilin-

Staphylococcus and

Enterococcus during

direct or indirect contact

Enterococcus may also

have a foodborne route

Exposure

assessment

Contact exposure: Low

general public - high for

persons with contact to

Enterococcus: Unknown

pigs (low uncertainty)

probabilty for the

Foodborne route:

probability (high uncertainty) Severely adverse

may be a result of

exposure to

human health effects

pleuromutilin-resistant

Consequence

assessment

Staphylococcus: Verv

low risk for the public in

vulnerable groups (low

general, but high for

Enterococcus: low

probability (high

uncertainty)

uncertainty)

Staphylococcus and

Enterococcus spp.

Certain types of

resistance may also

confer resistance to

Linezolid and thus

result in treatment

failure in humans. This

Risk

estimation

Staphylococcus: Low

risk (low uncertainty)

Enteroccocus: low risk

(high uncertainty)

applies also to future

pleuromutilin drugs

used in humans

pleuromutilin-

As a result of

pleuromutilin

Staphylococcus

Enterococcus

in pigs during

as during

slaughter

may be present

rearing as well

Release

assessment

Staphylococcus

high probability

(medium

uncertainty)

Enterococcus

low probability

(high uncertainty)

FIGURE 2 Summary of risk assessment for human health related to use of pleuromutilins in Danish pigs

resistant

use.

and

Lis Alban^{1*}, Johanne Ellis-Iversen², Margit Andreasen³, Jan Dahl¹ an

¹ Risk Assessment Group, Department for Food Safety and Veterinary Issues, Danish Agricultu. Copenhagen, Denmark, ² National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens L ³ Danish Association of the Veterinary Pharmaceutical Industry, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁴Depa Parasites and Funqi, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

CC



Risk Estimate

The current risk for human health-associated consumption of pleuromutilins in Danish swine was assessed as low under the current conditions. This is an increase compared to the previous assessment conducted in 2010, where a very low risk was found (7). A summary of the different elements of the risk assessment is presented in **Figure 2**.

The consumption of pleuromutilins in Denmark is low compared to other European countries (9) and has not changed

Complex networks

A Biotracing Model of Salmonella in the Pork Production Chain

February 2012 · Journal of food protection 75(2):270-80 DOI: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-11-281 Source · <u>PubMed</u>

🗑 Joost Smid · 🏮 Lourens Heres · 🕘 Arie H Havelaar · A Pielaat

Figure

Caption

Fig. 4. BBN of the Salmonella in pork slaughter chain. The different colors (only visible in the online version of the article) represent the dynamics of Salmonella coming from

This figure was uploaded by <u>Lourens Heres</u> Content may be subject to copyright.



Decision analyses

- Bayesian networks represents a graphical technique easily (??) understood
- BN can send information forward and backward

Figure 5. A decision oriented system using a Bayesian network, showing the probability distribution without any HPR information (upper), and with HPR information (middle). The lower graph gives probability distributions of three levels of hygiene scores (low, medium, high) for scenarios with inputs for Enterobacteriacea (log units), 1, 0, -1, -1.5) and E. coli (log units) 0.5, -0.5, -1.5, -2) per cm².



The Industry and Public Sector

• Industries will be reluctant to share their data

 NORSK KYLLING
 SOLVINGE
 Bygget 6
 Telefon:

 7290 STØREN
 72 43 05 00
 Image: Sen

 HJEM
 OM OSS
 HUBBARD
 NYHETER
 KONTAKT

- Public data sharing will be limited by GDPR
- Other, commercial actors will dominate the arena in food safety and food quality
 - Industrial systems have more freedom and more efficient than public systems – and will be able to integrate databases



Norsk Kylling markerer byggestart i Orkanger

Tirsdag 11.juni 2019 ble grunnsteinen for Norsk Kylling sitt nye slakteri og foredlingsanlegg lagt ned på tomten i Orkanger. Det fremtidsrettede anlegget vil være banebrytende innen energibruk og nye løsninger, og vil utgjøre starten i etableringen av en næringsmiddelklynge i området

REMA 1000-leder Ole Robert Reitan, Norsk Kylling-leder Kjell Stokbakken, ordfører i Orkdal, Oddbjørn Bang, og næringspolitisk talsperson i Høyre, Linda Hofstad Helleland, var alle med på å markere byggestart på det fremtidsrettede anlegget. Samfunnsansvar, kvalitet, dyrevelferd og innovative energiløsninger var sentrale temaer i åpningstalene.

Do not forget the biology!

Neospora also known as Neospora caninum is **single celled parasitic organism that can affect cattle, particularly pregnant cattle, where it can result in abortion**. The disease affects cattle worldwide, and once infected, cattle remain infected for life, making the disease very difficult to eradicate. <u>4. nov. 2020</u>





Neospora is not zoonotic, but Neospora affects zoonoses as it is linked to pre-harvest management and contact between cattle and dogs





The status

 Very few European countries have real pre-harvest systems to collect data to be used in quality assurance

Temptation to move towards automatic data harvesting – are these data relevant and reliable?

3. Ownership of data is controversial

 Sharing of data difficult due to GDPR and commercial interests
 CHALLENGES WILL BE LINKED TO DEALING WITH EXTREME VARIABILITIES IN VERY DIVERSE MEAT CHAINS IN EUROPE

The future - into the green shift

- Reduced meat consumption in Europe
- Stress on the industry linked to pressure from
 - Sustainability and climate
 - «Green Deal»
 - Animal welfare
- Authorities and industries under pressure to change food systems into circular food chains

And the World was never to be the same again; algorithms and Artificial Intelligence (AI)

🕼 OpenAl

Research ~ Product ~ Developers ~ Safety Company

Introducing ChatGPT

We've trained a model called ChatGPT which interacts in a conversational way. The dialogue format makes it possible for ChatGPT to answer followup questions, admit its mistakes, challenge incorrect premises, and reject inappropriate requests. describe the relationship between surface microbial counts and slaughter hygiene

The relationship between surface microbial counts and slaughter hygiene is significant and \square \square \square \square plays a crucial role in ensuring food safety and preventing the spread of pathogens. Slaughter hygiene refers to the practices and measures implemented during the slaughtering and processing of animals to maintain cleanliness and minimize the risk of contamination.

Surface microbial counts are a measure of the total number of microorganisms present on the surfaces of equipment, tools, and facilities in the slaughterhouse environment. These microorganisms can include bacteria, fungi, viruses, and other pathogens. High surface microbial counts indicate a higher level of contamination and increase the likelihood of pathogens being transferred to the meat during processing.

Proper slaughter hygiene practices aim to reduce and control surface microbial counts in the slaughterhouse. This includes maintaining a clean and sanitized environment, implementing effective cleaning and disinfection protocols, and ensuring the use of appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) by workers.

By controlling surface microbial counts, slaughter hygiene practices help minimize the risk of foodborne illnesses and ensure the safety of the meat products. Pathogens such as Salmonella, Escherichia coli (E. coli), Campylobacter, and Listeria monocytogenes are commonly associated with slaughterhouse contamination. These pathogens can cause serious illnesses in humans if consumed through contaminated meat.

G Regenerate response

Will AI save us

- May not be of much help in our area, due to lack of transparency of data, limited sharing of data and:
- Huge variability of data
- Even advanced AI systems will not give reliable predictions on specific units as animals and herds.



Am I a bit pessimistic?

